

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/697,975 10/31/2003		Mark Davidson	1693.1013 8976		
21171 7590 06/08/2006 STAAS & HALSEY LLP			EXAMINER		
SUITE 700	ALSEY LLP		MOORE, R	MOORE, KARLA A	
	ORK AVENUE, N.W. ON, DC 20005		ART UNIT		
WASHINGIC	ON, DC 20005		1763		
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/697,975	DAVIDSON, MARK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Karla Moore	1763		

	Karla Moore	1763					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires and the statutory period	ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply many date of the final rejection. Individual set for the date set for the date of the mailing attention to the mailing attention.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		,,					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-13</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-4 and 14-20</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)					
13. Other:		Karla Moore Primary Examiner, 6 June 2006	AU 1763				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The claims at issue have been amended to recite subject matter not previously included in the examined claims; therefore, further search and consideration would be necessary.